3-28-96

Dear Harold

I've enclosed two items of interest.

— Time magizine (not the best source we know) reported however in their November 11, 1966 issue that

— "The (autopsy) photo's were examined last week by two of the autopsy doctors. They agreed that the evidence 'fully corroborates' their testimony before the Warren Commission.

Amazing> As we know both the back/neck wound is much different and as you once wrote the head wound has been moved by four inches or "no silly millemeter".

— Rhetorically, since both wounds are in a distinctly different location, what again does this say about their credibility?

— Hosty and his whole Mexico City claims about LHO ignore many things (the tape recording of a man's voice the FBI claimed wasn't LHO; no photos of Oswald from surveillance cameras. And CD 1216 enclosed from the CIA to Rankin mentions their uncertainty that 'Oswald' spoke to Kostikov at all.

By the way, could you believe Hosty. His version of the shooting is that the Tague shot hit the curb then ricocheted ~~across~~ across the street causing dust on the Knoll to fly up in the air and that was the puff of smoke that bystanders saw. [(see page 253): Assignment Oswald by Hosty]

At one point in the book he utilizes Posner's prize: The Willis girl as being indicative of more time ("over nine seconds" - pg. 250) to accomplish the shooting. He however does not mention the Willis girl by name ("a little girl") but then goes on to say that "Dozens of people, including law enforcement officers, swarmed up to the grassy knoll - no doubt confused by the unusual acoustics of Dealey Plaza". (P253). Interesting, the girl looking towards the TSBD is evidence of an early shot from there but she was not confused by the acoustics as were many other people.

He mentions that JFK's "the fibers" were turned outward, consistant with an exit wound (P250) but doesn't begin to describe either the character of the wound nor the lack of copper tracings in that very same tie knot. I've always thought that your explanation of scissors relating to a "puncture wound" makes a lot of sense both with the tie knot and the throat.

Well, we could go on and on, but Hosty does seem to say, in so many words, that Revill made up some of what transpired between them that day (pg 145-146).

I'm wishing you the very best and think of you quite often when my thoughts revert to Dallas. I want to sincerely thank you for all the ground that you've broken on this case, and look forward to more of your writings.

Ross F. Roberts